arXiv:1603.06106v2 [math.DG] 11 May 2016

Connections in sub-Riemannian geometry of parallelizable distributions*

Nabil L. Youssef and Ebtsam H. Taha

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

nlyoussef@sci.cu.edu.eg, nlyoussef2003@yahoo.fr
ebtsam.taha@sci.cu.edu.eg, ebtsam.h.taha@hotmail.com

Dedicated to the meomery of Waleed A. Elsayed

Abstract. The notion of a parallelizable distribution has been introduced and investigated. A non-integrable parallelizable distribution carries a natural sub-Riemannian structure. The geometry of this structure has been studied from the bi-viewpoint of absolute parallelism geometry and sub-Riemannian geometry. Two remarkable linear connections have been constructed on a sub-Riemannian parallelizable distribution, namely, the Weitzenböck connection and the sub-Riemannian connection. The obtained results have been applied to two concrete examples: the spheres S^3 and S^7.

Keywords: bracket generating distribution, parallelizable distribution, sub-Riemannian structure, Weitzenböck connection, sub-Riemannian connection, spheres S^3 and S^7.

MSC 2010: 53C17, 58A30, 53C05

1. Introduction

Sub-Riemannian Geometry [1, 4, 10, 15, 16] has many applications such as diffusion, mechanics, gauge theories and control theory [7, 13]. Absolute parallelism geometry or the geometry of parallelizable manifolds [3, 17, 20, 21] is frequently used for applications in physics, especially in the geometrization of physical theories such general relativity and gravitation [6, 12, 14, 18, 19].

Several attempts [2, 5, 8, 9] have been made to construct a connection theory in sub-Riemannian geometry. Our approach is different. We define a parallelizable distribution (PD) on a finite dimensional manifold M. A non-integrable PD on M carries simultaneously two structures: an absolute parallelism structure and a sub-Riemannian structure. We make use of both structures to build up a connection theory on PD's. Two remarkable connections have been constructed on a sub-Riemannian parallelizable

*arXiv number: 1603.06106 [math.DG]

distribution, namely, the Weitzenböck connection and the sub-Riemannian connection. The obtained results have been applied to the spheres S^3 and S^7.

The wide spectrum of applications of both sub-Riemannian geometry and absolute parallelism geometry makes our approach, which enjoys the advantages of both geometries, a potential candidate for more applications in different fields.

2. Parallelizable distribution and Sub-Riemannian structure

We first give some fundamental definitions concerning sub-Riemannian geometry. For a more detailed exposition of Sub-Riemannian geometry, we refer to [1, 4, 10, 15, 16]. By a manifold M, we mean an n-dimensional smooth manifold.

Definition 2.1. *A distribution of rank k on a manifold M is a map D that assigns to each point $p \in M$ a k-dimensional subspace D_p of T_pM. A distribution D may be regarded as a vector sub-bundle $(\bigcup_{p\in M} D_p) \longrightarrow M$ of the tangent bundle $TM \longrightarrow M$. A Distribution D of rank k is differentiable if every point $p \in M$ has a neighborhood U and smooth k-vector fields $Y_1, \cdots Y_k$ on U such that $Y_1(q), \cdots Y_k(q)$ form a basis of D_q for all $q \in U$, i.e., $D = Span\{Y_1, \cdots Y_k\}$ on U.*

We shall always deal with differentiable distributions.

Definition 2.2. *A Distribution D on M is integrable if it admits a unique maximal integral manifold through each point of M. A Distribution D is involutive if $[X, Y] \in D$ for all $X, Y \in D$.*

According to Frobenius theorem, a distribution D is integrable if and only if it is involutive.

Definition 2.3. *A distribution D on M is bracket generating if there exists an integer $r \geq 1$ such that $D_p^r = T_pM$ for all $p \in M$, where*

$$\begin{aligned} D_p^1 &= D_p, \\ D_p^{s+1} &= D_p^s + [D_p, D_p^s], \text{ for } s \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and $[D_p, D_p^s] = \{[X, Y] : X \in D_p, Y \in D_p^s\}$.
The smallest integer r such that $D_p^r = T_pM$ is said to be the step of the distribution D. If r does not exist, we say that the distribution is of infinite step.

Definition 2.4. *A sub-Riemannian metric on a distribution D is a map g that assigns to each point $p \in M$ a positive definite inner product $g_p : D_p \times D_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and g_p varies smoothly.*

Definition 2.5. *A sub-Riemannian structure on M is a pair (D, g) where D is a non-integrable (bracket generating) distribution on M and g is a smooth sub-Riemannian metric on D. In this case, M is said to be a sub-Riemannian manifold.*

In the following we shall deal with a certain type of distributions, namely, parallelizable distributions. Throughout the paper, M will denote an n-dimensional smooth manifold, $C^\infty(M)$ the algebra of smooth functions on M, $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the $C^\infty(M)$-module of smooth vector fields on M and $\Gamma(D)$ the $C^\infty(M)$-module of smooth sections of a distribution D. Einstein summation convention will be applied to all pairs of repeated indices even when they are both down. We shall follow the notations and use the results of [20].

Definition 2.6. *A distribution HM on M of rank $k < n$ is said to be parallelizable if it admits k independent global smooth sections $\underset{1}{X}, \cdots, \underset{k}{X} \in \Gamma(HM)$, called paralellization sections. Consequently, $HM = span\{\underset{i}{X} \,|\, i = 1, \cdots, k\}$. A parallelizable distribution generated by $\underset{i}{X}$ will be denoted by $(M, HM, \underset{i}{X})$ or, simply, by $(HM, \underset{i}{X})$.*

Proposition 2.7. *Every parallelizable distribution admits a sub-Riemannian metric.*

Proof. Let $(HM, \underset{i}{X})$ be a parallelizable distribution of rank $k < n$ on M. Define the k differential 1-forms $\underset{i}{\Omega} : \Gamma(HM) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$ by

$$\underset{i}{\Omega}(\underset{j}{X}) = \delta_{ij}$$

We call $\underset{i}{\Omega}$ the parallelization forms. Clearly, if $Y = Y^i \underset{i}{X} \in \Gamma(HM)$, then

$$\underset{i}{\Omega}(Y) = Y^i, \qquad \underset{i}{\Omega}(Y)\underset{i}{X} = Y. \tag{2.1}$$

The parallelization forms $\underset{i}{\Omega}$ are independent in the $C^\infty(M)$-module $\Gamma^*(HM)$. It is then easy to show that

$$g := \underset{i}{\Omega} \otimes \underset{i}{\Omega} \tag{2.2}$$

defines a sub-Riemannian metric on HM. □

Corollary 2.8. *A non-integrable parallelizable distribution $(HM, \underset{i}{X})$ defines a sub-Riemannian structure on M with sub-Riemannian metric g (defined by (2.2)).*

It should be noted that the parallelization sections $\underset{i}{X}$ are g-orthonormal: $g(\underset{i}{X}, \underset{j}{X}) = \delta_{ij}$. Moreover, we have $g(\underset{i}{X}, Y) = \underset{i}{\Omega}(Y)$ for all $Y \in \Gamma(HM)$.

According to [15, 16], there exists a metric extension G for g that makes the split $TM = HM \oplus VM$ G-orthogonal, where $VM := (HM)^\perp$. This decomposition of TM induces two projectors $h : TM \to HM$ and $v : TM \to VM$, called horizontal and vertical projectors, respectively. The projectors h and v are $C^\infty(M)$-linear with the properties $h^2 = h,\ v^2 = v,\ h \circ v = v \circ h = 0$ and $h + v = id_{TM}$.

3. Linear connections on parallelizable distributions

In this section, we explore the natural sub-Riemannian structure associated with a parallelizable distribution $(HM, \underset{i}{X})$. We introduce and investigate two remarkable connections on HM, namely, the Weitzenböck connection and the sub-Riemannian connection. The last one generalizes the Levi-Civita connection to the sub-Riemannian case. We shall continue to follow the notations and use the results of [20].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(HM, \underset{i}{X})$ be a parallelizable distribution of rank k on M. Then, there exists a unique linear connection ∇ on HM for which the parallelization sections $\underset{i}{X}$ are parallel:*

$$\nabla_Y \underset{i}{X} = 0 \quad \forall\, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. To prove the uniqueness assume that ∇ is a linear connection satisfying the condition $\nabla \underset{i}{X} = 0$. For all $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $Z \in \Gamma(HM)$ we have, by (2.1) and (3.1),

$$\nabla_Y Z = \nabla_Y \big(\underset{i}{\Omega}(Z)\underset{i}{X}\big) = \underset{i}{\Omega}(Z)\nabla_Y \underset{i}{X} + \big(Y \cdot \underset{i}{\Omega}(Z)\big)\underset{i}{X} = \big(Y \cdot \underset{i}{\Omega}(Z)\big)\underset{i}{X}.$$

Hence, the connection ∇ is uniquely determined by the relation

$$\nabla_Y Z = \big(Y \cdot \underset{i}{\Omega}(Z)\big)\underset{i}{X}. \tag{3.2}$$

To prove the existence, let $\nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \Gamma(HM) \longrightarrow \Gamma(HM)$ be defined by (3.2). It is easy to show that ∇ is a linear connection on HM with the required property. □

The unique linear connection ∇ on HM defined by (3.2) will be called the Weitzenböck connection of $(HM, \underset{i}{X})$.

Corollary 3.2. *The Weitzenböck connection ∇ of $(HM, \underset{i}{X})$ is metric: $\nabla g = 0$.*

Definition 3.3. *Let $(HM, \underset{i}{X})$ be a parallelizable distribution. Let ∇ be the Weitzenböck connection on $(HM, \underset{i}{X})$.*

(a) *The torsion tensor of the Weitzenböck connection is defined by:*

$$T : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \longrightarrow \Gamma(HM);$$

$$T(Y,\, Z) = \nabla_Y hZ - \nabla_Z hY - h[Y,\, Z].$$

(b) *The curvature tensor of the Weitzenböck connection is defined by:*

$$R : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \Gamma(HM) \longrightarrow \Gamma(HM);$$

$$R(Y,\, Z)W := \nabla_Y \nabla_Z W - \nabla_Z \nabla_Y W - \nabla_{[Y,\, Z]} W.$$

The torsion and curvature tensors of an arbitrary linear connection on $(HM, \underset{i}{X})$ can be defined similarly.

The torsion tensor T of ∇ has the properties:

$$T(\underset{i}{X},\, \underset{j}{X}) = -h[\underset{i}{X},\, \underset{j}{X}], \quad T(vY,\, \underset{j}{X}) = -h[vY,\, \underset{j}{X}], \quad T(vY,\, vZ) = -h[vY,\, vZ].$$

Because of the property (3.1), the curvature tensor of the Weitzenböck connection vanishes identically.

Definition 3.4. *Given a vector field $W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the horizontal Lie derivative with respect to W of the metric tensor g is defined, for all Y, $Z \in \Gamma(HM)$, by:*

$$(\mathfrak{L}_W g)(Y, Z) := W.g(Y, Z) + g(h[Y,\, W], Z) + g(h[Z,\, W], Y).$$

It is clear that

$$(\mathfrak{L}_{fW}g)(Y,Z) = f(\mathfrak{L}_W g)(Y,Z) + (Y.f)\, g(hW,Z) + (Z.f)\, g(hW,Y).$$

Theorem 3.5. *On any parallelizable distribution* $(M,\, HM, \underset{k}{X})$*, there exists a unique linear connection* $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$*, called the sub-Riemannian connection (sR-connection), such that*

(a) $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ *is metric:* $(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_W g)(Y,\, Z) = 0\ \forall Y,\, Z \in \Gamma(HM),\, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

(b) $\overset{\circ}{T}(HM,\, HM) = 0$.

(c) $g(\overset{\circ}{T}(V,\, Y), Z) = g(\overset{\circ}{T}(V,\, Z), Y),\ \forall Y,\, Z \in \Gamma(HM),\, V \in \Gamma(VM)$.

Proof. Let $W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(HM)$. Set

$$\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_W Z = \widehat{\nabla}_W Z - \frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{L}_{\underset{i}{X}} g)(hW,Z)\, \underset{i}{X} - \frac{1}{2} g(Z,\, h[vW,\, \underset{k}{X}])\underset{k}{X}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\widehat{\nabla}_Y Z := \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_Y Z + \nabla_Z hY + h[Y,Z])$.

It is clear that, $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ is a linear connection with the desired properties. For example, let us prove the property (c):

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\circ}{T}(V,\, \underset{k}{X}) &= \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_V \underset{k}{X} - h[V,\, \underset{k}{X}] = \widehat{\nabla}_V \underset{k}{X} - \frac{1}{2} g(\underset{k}{X},\, h[V, \underset{i}{X}])\underset{i}{X} - h[V,\, \underset{k}{X}] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\Big\{ \nabla_V \underset{k}{X} + \nabla_{\underset{k}{X}} hV + h[V,\, \underset{k}{X}] - g(\underset{k}{X},\, h[V, \underset{i}{X}])\underset{i}{X} - 2h[V,\, \underset{k}{X}] \Big\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\Big\{ g(\underset{k}{X},\, h[V, \underset{i}{X}])\underset{i}{X} + h[V,\, \underset{k}{X}] \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\overset{\circ}{T}(V,\, \underset{k}{X}),\, \underset{j}{X}) &= -\frac{1}{2}\Big\{ g(\underset{k}{X},\, h[V, \underset{i}{X}]) g(\underset{i}{X},\, \underset{j}{X}) + g(h[V,\, \underset{k}{X}],\, \underset{j}{X}) \Big\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\Big\{ g(\underset{k}{X},\, h[V, \underset{j}{X}]) + g(h[V,\, \underset{k}{X}],\, \underset{j}{X}) \Big\} \\
&= g(\overset{\circ}{T}(V,\, \underset{j}{X}),\, \underset{k}{X}).
\end{aligned}$$

For the uniqueness, assume that $\overline{\nabla}$ is another linear connection satisfying (a), (b) and (c). Define the tensor $\psi(W,\, Z) := \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_W Z - \overline{\nabla}_W Z$. Then for $Y,\, Z \in \Gamma(HM)$, $V \in \Gamma(VM)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\psi(V,\, Z),\, Y) &\overset{(a)}{=} -g(Z,\, \psi(V,\, Y)) = -g(Z,\, \overset{\circ}{T}(V,\, Y) - \overline{T}(V,\, Y)) \\
&\overset{(c)}{=} -g(Y,\, \overset{\circ}{T}(V,\, Z) - \overline{T}(V,\, Z)) \\
&= -g(Y,\, \psi(V,\, Z)).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $X,\, Y,\, Z \in \Gamma(HM)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\psi(X,\, Z),\, Y) &\overset{(a)}{=} -g(Z,\, \psi(X,\, Y)) \overset{(b)}{=} -g(Z,\, (Y,\, X)) \overset{(a)}{=} g(X,\, \psi(Y,\, Z)) \\
&\overset{(b)}{=} g(X,\, \psi(Z,\, Y)) \overset{(a)}{=} -g(Y,\, \psi(Z,\, X)) \overset{(b)}{=} -g(Y,\, \psi(X,\, Z)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\psi(W,\, Z) := 0\ \forall W \in \mathfrak{X}(M),\, Z \in \Gamma(HM)$, which completes the proof. ∎

Remark 3.6. (a) The non vanishing counterparts of the torsion tensor $\overset{\circ}{T}$ of $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ are given, for all $V, U \in \Gamma(VM)$, by

$$\begin{aligned}\overset{\circ}{T}(\underset{k}{X}, V) &= \frac{1}{2}\Big\{T(\underset{k}{X}, V) - g(h[\underset{k}{X}, V], \underset{i}{X})\underset{i}{X}\Big\},\\ \overset{\circ}{T}(V, U) &= -h[V, U].\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\overset{\circ}{T}$ vanishes on the horizontal distribution. The connection $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ is thus a generalization of the Levi-Civita connection to the sub-Riemannian case. The advantage of formula (3.3) is that it gives the connection $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ an explicit form, contrary to the Levi-Civita connection.

(b) If, in particular, M is parallelizable ($k = n$), the sR-connection is just the well known Levi-Civita connection of the parallelizable manifold M [20, 21].

4. The sphere S^3

Let $M = S^3$, the 3-sphere, and let (y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) be the coordinates on S^3. Consider the parallelization vector fields on S^3 given by [11]:

$$\begin{aligned}\underset{1}{X} &= -y_2\,\partial_{y_0} + y_3\,\partial_{y_1} + y_0\,\partial_{y_2} - y_1\,\partial_{y_3},\\ \underset{2}{X} &= -y_3\,\partial_{y_0} - y_2\,\partial_{y_1} + y_1\,\partial_{y_2} + y_0\,\partial_{y_3},\\ \underset{3}{X} &= -y_1\,\partial_{y_0} + y_0\,\partial_{y_1} - y_3\,\partial_{y_2} + y_2\,\partial_{y_3} = (1/2)[\underset{1}{X}, \underset{2}{X}].\end{aligned}$$

Let $HM = \text{span}\{\underset{1}{X}, \underset{2}{X}\}$ and $VM = \text{span}\{\underset{3}{X}\}$. The distribution HM is non-integrable and bracket generating of step 2. The parallelization forms associated with $\underset{1}{X}$, $\underset{2}{X}$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\underset{1}{\Omega} &= -y_2\,dy_0 + y_3\,dy_1 + y_0\,dy_2 - y_1\,dy_3,\\ \underset{2}{\Omega} &= -y_3\,dy_0 - y_2\,dy_1 + y_1\,dy_2 + y_0\,dy_3.\end{aligned}$$

The sub-Riemannian metric of HM, defined by (2.2), is given by

$$\begin{aligned}g &= (y_2^2 + y_3^2)\,({dy_0}^2 + {dy_1}^2) + (y_1^2 + y_0^2)\,({dy_2}^2 + {dy_3}^2)\\ &\quad +2(y_0\,y_3 - y_1\,y_2)(dy_0\,dy_3 - dy_1\,dy_2) - 2(y_1\,y_3 - y_0\,y_2)(dy_0\,dy_2 - dy_1\,dy_3).\end{aligned}$$

We have $g(HM, HM) = \langle HM, HM\rangle$ and $\langle HM, VM\rangle = 0$, where $\langle .\,,\,.\rangle$ is the usual inner product of $\mathbb{R}^4$.

- The Weitzenböck connection ∇ defined by (3.2) has coefficients

$$\nabla_Y \underset{1}{X} = \nabla_Y \underset{2}{X} = 0, \text{ where } Y = \underset{1}{X}, \underset{2}{X} \text{ or } \underset{3}{X}.$$

The torsion tensor T of ∇ is given by

$$T(\underset{1}{X}, \underset{2}{X}) = 0,\ T(\underset{1}{X}, \underset{3}{X}) = -2\,\underset{2}{X},\ T(\underset{2}{X}, \underset{3}{X}) = 2\,\underset{1}{X}$$

and the curvature tensor of ∇ vanish identically.

- The sR-connection defined by (3.3) has coefficients

$$\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{\underset{i}{X}} \underset{j}{X} = 0 \ (i,j \in \{1,2\}), \quad \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{\underset{3}{X}} \underset{1}{X} = -3\underset{2}{X}, \quad \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{\underset{3}{X}} \underset{2}{X} = 3\underset{1}{X}.$$

The non vanishing components of the torsion tensor $\overset{\circ}{T}$ of $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ are

$$\overset{\circ}{T}(\underset{1}{X}, \underset{3}{X}) = \underset{2}{X}, \quad \overset{\circ}{T}(\underset{2}{X}, \underset{3}{X}) = -\underset{1}{X}$$

and the non vanishing components of the curvature tensor $\overset{\circ}{R}$ of $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ are

$$\overset{\circ}{R}(\underset{1}{X}, \underset{2}{X})\underset{1}{X} = 6\underset{2}{X}, \quad \overset{\circ}{R}(\underset{1}{X}, \underset{2}{X})\underset{2}{X} = -6\underset{1}{X}.$$

Remark 4.1. It should be noted that $\underset{2}{X} = \frac{1}{2}[\underset{1}{X}, \underset{3}{X}]$, $\underset{1}{X} = \frac{1}{2}[\underset{2}{X}, \underset{3}{X}]$. This implies that the distributions $\text{span}\{\underset{1}{X}, \underset{3}{X}\}$ and $\text{span}\{\underset{2}{X}, \underset{3}{X}\}$ are also bracket generating of step 2 and we can perform the same calculation as above for each of them. Consequently, there are exactly three distinct sub-Riemannian parallelizable structures on S^3.

5. The sphere S^7

Consider the 7-sphere S^7. For each point $p \in S^7$, the vector fields $Y_1, \cdots, Y_7$ form an orthonormal frame of T_pS^7, where [11]

$$\begin{aligned}
\underset{1}{X} &= -y_2\partial_{y_0} + y_3\partial_{y_1} + y_0\partial_{y_2} - y_1\partial_{y_3} - y_6\partial_{y_4} + y_7\partial_{y_5} + y_4\partial_{y_6} - y_5\partial_{y_7} \\
\underset{2}{X} &= -y_3\partial_{y_0} - y_2\partial_{y_1} + y_1\partial_{y_2} + y_0\partial_{y_3} + y_7\partial_{y_4} + y_6\partial_{y_5} - y_5\partial_{y_6} - y_4\partial_{y_7} \\
\underset{3}{X} &= -y_4\partial_{y_0} + y_5\partial_{y_1} + y_6\partial_{y_2} - y_7\partial_{y_3} + y_0\partial_{y_4} - y_1\partial_{y_5} - y_2\partial_{y_6} + y_3\partial_{y_7} \\
\underset{4}{X} &= -y_5\partial_{y_0} - y_4\partial_{y_1} - y_7\partial_{y_2} - y_6\partial_{y_3} + y_1\partial_{y_4} + y_0\partial_{y_5} + y_3\partial_{y_6} + y_2\partial_{y_7} \\
\underset{5}{X} &= -y_6\partial_{y_0} + y_7\partial_{y_1} - y_4\partial_{y_2} + y_5\partial_{y_3} + y_2\partial_{y_4} - y_3\partial_{y_5} + y_0\partial_{y_6} - y_1\partial_{y_7} \\
\underset{6}{X} &= -y_7\partial_{y_0} - y_6\partial_{y_1} + y_5\partial_{y_2} + y_4\partial_{y_3} - y_3\partial_{y_4} - y_2\partial_{y_5} + y_1\partial_{y_6} + y_0\partial_{y_7} \\
\underset{7}{X} &= -y_1\partial_{y_0} + y_0\partial_{y_1} - y_3\partial_{y_2} + y_2\partial_{y_3} - y_5\partial_{y_4} + y_4\partial_{y_5} - y_7\partial_{y_6} + y_6\partial_{y_7}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $HM = \text{Span}\{\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{6}{X}\}$ and $VM = \text{Span}\{\underset{7}{X}\}$. Clearly, the distribution HM is non-integrable and bracket generating of step 2. The parallelization forms associated with $\{\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{6}{X}\}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\underset{1}{\Omega} &= -y_2\,dy_0 + y_3\,dy_1 + y_0\,dy_2 - y_1\,dy_3 - y_6\,dy_4 + y_7\,dy_5 + y_4\,dy_6 - y_5\,dy_7 \\
\underset{2}{\Omega} &= -y_3\,dy_0 - y_2\,dy_1 + y_1\,dy_2 + y_0\,dy_3 + y_7\,dy_4 + y_6\,dy_5 - y_5\,dy_6 - y_4\,dy_7 \\
\underset{3}{\Omega} &= -y_4\,dy_0 + y_5\,dy_1 + y_6\,dy_2 - y_7\,dy_3 + y_0\,dy_4 - y_1\,dy_5 - y_2\,dy_6 + y_3\,dy_7 \\
\underset{4}{\Omega} &= -y_5\,dy_0 - y_4\,dy_1 - y_7\,dy_2 - y_6\,dy_3 + y_1\,dy_4 + y_0\,dy_5 + y_3\,dy_6 + y_2\,dy_7 \\
\underset{5}{\Omega} &= -y_6\,dy_0 + y_7\,dy_1 - y_4\,dy_2 + y_5\,dy_3 + y_2\,dy_4 - y_3\,dy_5 + y_0\,dy_6 - y_1\,dy_7
\end{aligned}$$

$$\underset{6}{\Omega} = -y_7\,dy_0 - y_6\,dy_1 + y_5\,dy_2 + y_4\,dy_3 - y_3\,dy_4 - y_2\,dy_5 + y_1\,dy_6 + y_0\,dy_7.$$

The metric g of HM, defined by (2.2), is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g \;=\; & (1-{y_0}^2-{y_1}^2)({dy_0}^2+{dy_1}^2) + (1-{y_2}^2-{y_3}^2)({dy_2}^2+{dy_3}^2) \\ & +(1-{y_4}^2-{y_5}^2)({dy_4}^2+{dy_5}^2) + (1-{y_6}^2-{y_7}^2)({dy_6}^2+{dy_7}^2) \\ & +2(-y_1\,y_6+y_7\,y_0)(dy_6\,dy_1-dy_7\,dy_0) + 2(-y_3\,y_0+y_2\,y_1)(dy_3\,dy_0-dy_1\,dy_2) \\ & +2(-y_0\,y_5+y_1\,y_4)(dy_0\,dy_5-dy_4\,dy_1) - 2(y_7\,y_1+y_6\,y_0)(dy_6\,dy_0+dy_7\,dy_1) \\ & -2(y_1\,y_3+y_2\,y_0)(dy_3\,dy_1-dy_0\,dy_2) - 2(y_7\,y_5+y_6\,y_4)(dy_5\,dy_7+dy_4\,dy_6) \\ & -2(y_7\,y_3+y_2\,y_6)(dy_2\,dy_6-dy_3\,dy_7) + 2(-y_3\,y_4+y_5\,y_2)(dy_4\,dy_3-dy_2\,dy_5) \\ & +2(-y_6\,y_5+y_7\,y_4)(dy_6\,dy_5-dy_4\,dy_7) + 2(-y_2\,y_7+y_3\,y_6)(dy_2\,dy_7-dy_3\,dy_6) \\ & -2(y_3\,y_5+y_4\,y_2)(dy_2\,dy_4+dy_3\,dy_5) - 2(y_1\,y_5+y_0\,y_4)(dy_4\,dy_0+dy_3\,dy_5) \end{aligned}$$

We have $g(HM,\,HM) = \langle HM,\,HM\rangle$, and $\langle HM,\,VM\rangle = 0$, where $\langle .\,,\,.\rangle$ is the usual inner product on $\mathbb{R}^8$.

- The Weitzenböck connection ∇ defined by (3.2) has coefficients

$$\nabla_{\underset{i}{X}}\,\underset{j}{X} = 0,\ \text{for } 1 \le i \le 7,\ 1 \le j \le 6.$$

As an illustration, some components of the torsion tensor T of ∇ are given by

$$T(\underset{1}{X},\,\underset{7}{X}) = 2(y_3\partial_{y_0} + y_2\partial_{y_1} - y_1\partial_{y_2} - y_0\partial_{y_3} + y_7\partial_{y_4} + y_6\partial_{y_5} - y_5\partial_{y_6} - y_4\partial_{y_7}),$$

$$T(\underset{6}{X},\,\underset{7}{X}) = 2(-y_6\partial_{y_0} + y_7\partial_{y_1} + y_4\partial_{y_2} - y_5\partial_{y_3} - y_2\partial_{y_4} + y_3\partial_{y_5} + y_0\partial_{y_6} - y_1\partial_{y_7})$$

and the curvature tensor of ∇ vanishes identically.

- The sR-connection defined by (3.3) has the properties:

$$\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{\underset{i}{X}}\,\underset{j}{X} = -\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{\underset{j}{X}}\,\underset{i}{X}, \quad \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{\underset{7}{X}}\,\underset{i}{X} = \frac{3}{2}\,T(\underset{i}{X},\,\underset{7}{X}),\ \ 1 \le i,j \le 6.$$

For the coefficients of $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$, we have, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{\underset{1}{X}}\,\underset{2}{X} = -\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{\underset{2}{X}}\,\underset{1}{X} \;=\; & 2(y_7^2+y_6^2+y_5^2+y_4^2)(y_1\,dy_0 - y_0\,dy_1 + y_3\,dy_2 - y_2\,dy_3) \\ & +2(y_0^2+y_1^2+y_2^2+y_3^2)(y_5\,dy_4 - y_4\,dy_5 + y_7\,dy_6 - y_6\,dy_7). \end{aligned}$$

The non vanishing components of the torsion tensor $\overset{\circ}{T}$ of $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ are

$$\overset{\circ}{T}(\underset{i}{X},\,\underset{7}{X}) = -\frac{1}{2}\,T(\underset{i}{X},\,\underset{7}{X}),\ \ 1 \le i \le 6.$$

It is to be noted that the other non-vanishing components of T and the non-vanishing components of $\overset{\circ}{R}$ have not been written down. They have been computed using Maple program but they are so long. The following result is also proved using Maple.

Proposition 5.1. *The parallelization sections* $\underset{i}{X}$, $i = 1, \ldots, 6$, *are Killing sections:* $\mathcal{L}_{\underset{i}{X}} g = 0$.

The next table gives the different parallelizable distributions (PD) defined on S^7, where we use the notation: $X_{kl} := [\underset{k}{X}, \underset{l}{X}]$.

HM spanned by	Rank of HM	Independent commutators	HM is bracket generating	Step
$\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{6}{X}$	6	$X_{12}, X_{13}, X_{14}, X_{15}, X_{16}, X_{23}, X_{24}, X_{25}, X_{26}, X_{34}, X_{35}, X_{36}, X_{45}, X_{46}, X_{56}$	Yes	2
$\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{5}{X}$	5	$(X_{12}, X_{13}), (X_{12}, X_{23}), (X_{12}, X_{14}), (X_{12}, X_{24}), (X_{12}, X_{34}), (X_{12}, X_{15}), (X_{12}, X_{25}), (X_{12}, X_{35}), (X_{12}, X_{45})$	Yes	2
$\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{4}{X}$	4	$(X_{12}, X_{13}, X_{23}), (X_{12}, X_{13}, X_{14}), (X_{12}, X_{13}, X_{34}), (X_{12}, X_{13}, X_{24})$	Yes	2
$\underset{1}{X}, \underset{2}{X}, \underset{3}{X}$	3	(X_{12}, X_{13}, X_{23})	No	infinite
$\underset{1}{X}, \underset{2}{X}$	2	X_{12}	No	infinite

The above table provides some sort of classification of sub-Riemannian parallelizable distributions on S^7. We have 7 PD's of rank 6 (spanned by different choices of 6 sections from the 7 ones $\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{7}{X}$). Similarly, there are 21 PD's of rank 5, 35 PD's of rank 4, 35 PD's of rank 3 and 21 PD's of rank 2.

For example, the second row of this table concerns with the PD of rank 6 spanned by $\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{6}{X}$. It is non-integrable and bracket generating (BG) of step 2. The third column (intersecting the second row) gives the commutators independent with $\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{6}{X}$. That is, $\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{6}{X}$ together with X_{12} are independent and $\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{6}{X}$ together with X_{13} are independent, ..., etc. It should be noted that besides the above mentioned 7 PD's of rank 6, there are many other PD's of rank 6: we may take $\{\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{5}{X}, X_{12}\}$, $\{\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{5}{X}, X_{13}\}$, $\{\underset{1}{X}, ..., \underset{4}{X}, X_{12}, X_{13}\}$, ..., etc.

The same discussion can be made for the other rows of the table where we consider PD's of rank 5, 4, 3, 2 on S^7. This gives many non-integrable PD's which are either BG or non BG.

References

[1] A. Agrachev, D. Barilari and U. Boscain, *Introduction to Riemannian and sub-Riemannian geometry*, Preprint SISSA 09/2012/M, 2015.

[2] A. Bejancu, *Curvature in sub-Riemannian geometry*, J. Math. Phys. **53**, 023513, 2012. DOI: 10.1063/1.3684957.

[3] F. Brickell and R. S. Clark: *Differentiable manifolds*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., 1970.

[4] O. Calin and D-C. Chang, *Sub-Riemannian geometry: General theory and examples*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2009.

[5] D. R. Cole, *A natural connection on (2, 3) sub-Riemannian manifolds*, (2009). ArXiv: 0810.4141 [math.DG].

[6] W. El Hanafy and G. G. L. Nashed, *Exact teleparallel gravity of binary black holes*, Astrophys. Space Sci., **361** (2016), 68. DOI: 10.1007/s10509-016-2662-y. ArXiv: 1507.07377 [gr-qc].

[7] J. Frdric, *Control of nonholonomic systems: from Sub-Riemannian geometry to motion planning*, Basque Center for Applied Mathematics (BCAM), Springer, 2014.

[8] R. K. Hladky, *Connections and curvature tensors in sub-Riemannian geometry*, Houston J. Math., **38** (4) (2012), 1107-1134. arXiv:0912.3535 [math.DG].

[9] R. K. Hladky, S. D. Pauls, *Constant mean in sub-Riemannian geometry*, J. Diff. Geom., **79** (1) (2005), 111-139. ArXiv:math.DG/0508333.

[10] E. Le Donne, *Lecture notes on sub-Riemannian geometry* http://enrico.ledonne.googlepages.com/.

[11] M. G. Molina and I. Markina, *Sub-Riemannian geometry of parallelizable spheres*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, **27** (3) (2011), 997-1022. arXiv:0901.1406 [math.DG].

[12] G. G. L. Nashed and W. El Hanafy, *A Built-in Inflation in the $f(T)$-Cosmology*, Europ. Phys. J. C, **74** (2014), 3099. DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3099-5. ArXiv: 1403.0913 [gr-qc].

[13] L. Rifford, *Sub-Riemannian geometry and optimal transport*, Basque Center for Applied Mathematics (BCAM), Springer, 2014.

[14] T. Shirafuji, G. G. Nashed and Y. Kobayashi *Equivalence principle in the new general relativity*, Prog. Theoret. Phys., **96** (1996), 933-947. DOI: 10.1143/PTP.96.933. ArXiv: gr-qc/9609060

[15] R. Strichartz, *Sub-Riemannian geometry*, J. Diff. Geom., **24** (1986), 221-263.

[16] R. Strichartz, *Corrections to "Sub-Riemannian geometry"*, J. Diff. Geom., **30** (1989), 595-596.

[17] M. I. Wanas. *Absolute parallelism geometry: Developments, applications and problems*. Stud. Cercet, Stiin. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacau, **10** (2001) 297-309.

[18] M. I. Wanas, *On the relation between mass and charge: A pure geometric approach*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys., **4** (2007), 373-388. ArXiv: gr-qc/0703036.

[19] M. I. Wanas, N. L. Youssef and A. M. Sid-Ahmed, *Teleparallel Lagrange geometry and a unified field theory*, Class. Quantum Grav., **27** (2010) 045005 (29pp). Doi: 10.1088/0264-9381/27/4/045005. ArXiv: 0905.0209 [gr-qc].

[20] Nabil L. Youssef and W. A. Elsayed, *A global approach to absolute parallelism geometry*, Rep. Math. Phys., **72** (2013). ArXiv: 1209.1379 [gr-qc].

[21] Nabil L. Youssef and A. M. Sid-Ahmed, *Linear connections and curvature tensors in the geometry of parallelizabl manifolds*, Rep. Math. Phys., **60** (2007), 39-53. ArXiv: gr-qc/0604111.